

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,105	08/24/2001	Bijan Treister	52637-0029	3365	
29989	7590 07/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			WALSH, JOHN B		
2055 GATEW SUITE 550	VAY PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, (CA 95110		2151	-	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i				
	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/93	9,105	TREISTER ET AL	•			
Office Action Summ	ary Exami	iner	Art Unit				
		3. Walsh	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DATE OF provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no this communication. eximum statutory period will apply and for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUN to event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MO examplication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this constant the mailing date of this constant to the constant t				
Status							
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on RCE of 4/27.	706.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .							
3)☐ Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,13-20,23,24</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,13-20,23,24</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawn from d. , <u>28-34 and 38-52</u> is/are ro ed to.	consideration.	olication.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.		·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drawing((s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is object.		•	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have to priority documents have to copies of the priority documents to the copies of the priority documentational Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/15/2006</u>. 	-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,105

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 43 recites the limitation "the communication device selecting". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 9, 13-18, 20, 23, 24, 28-31, 33, 34 and 38-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Pub. No. 2005/0223115 to Hanson et al. (priority date of Oct. 9, 1998).

As concerns claims 1, 16 and 31, see paragraphs 0024, 0028 and 0029.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,105

Art Unit: 2151

As concerns claims 2, 17 and 39, a single wireless connection (figure 1; comprises a single wireless connection within the communication connection).

As concerns claims 3, 18 and 40, a third participant (first and third may comprise the same participant and labeled differently at different points in time; paragraphs 0077 and 0080-first/third resumes communication).

As concerns claims 5, 20 and 41, a time period (inherent for function to take place during a period of time; see also paragraph 0017).

As concerns claims 8, 23 and 33, a mobile device (figure 1, 104a).

As concerns claims 9, 24, 34, 46, 49 and 52, see paragraphs 0024, 0051, 0052, 0077 and 0137.

As concerns claims 13, 28 and 38, the terms "master participant", "slave participant" and "associate master participant" are mere labels and only the functions of the associated structure have been considered as further limiting which have been addressed in the rejection of claim 1.

As concerns claims 14 and 29, see paragraph 0080, lines 1-4.

As concerns claims 15 and 30, the second participant may designate itself (see also abstract).

As concerns claims 42 and 43, it is inherent within the definition of "the mechanism designating" that it will provide for "the mechanism selecting".

As concerns claims 44, 45, 47, 48, 50 and 51, packets (inherent within TCP/IP network communication to have data sent in packets/frames; paragraph 0031).

Application/Control Number: 09/939,105 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pub. No. 2005/0223115 to Hanson et al. as applied above in view of GAN et al.

Hanson et al. '115 do not explicitly disclose a frequency hopping protocol.

GAN et al. teach a frequency hopping protocol (see entire document).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Hanson et al. '115 with a frequency hopping protocol, as taught by GAN et al., in order to provide a communication protocol that is less prone to interference.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see PTO-892).

Art Unit: 2151

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151